

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/682,444	10/09/2003	Patrick C. St. Germain	SSS-106	9792
OI SON & HIE	7590 08/10/200	7	EXAM	INER
OLSON & HIERL, LTD. 36th Floor			TAWFIK, SAMEH	
20 North Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<i>5</i> ,			3721	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application Number	Application/Co	ntrol No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
	10/682,444 GERMAIN, PATRICK C		RICK C. ST.	
	Sameh H. Tawfik		Art Unit 3721	
Document Code - AP.PRE	·			

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Rev	view filed <u>7/13/07</u> .
 Improper Request – The Request is improper as reason(s): 	nd a conference will not be held for the following
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concur ☐ The request does not include reasons why a re ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pr ☐ Other: 	eview is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run frethe mail date of the last Office communication, if no No	
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interheld. The application remains under appeal because the is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decrunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upof the notice of appeal, as applicable.	nere is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant in 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal cision, or the balance of the two-month time period ever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the
 ☑ The panel has determined the status of the claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>11-16</u>. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 	aim(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits rerapplicant at this time.	
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been action will be mailed. No further action is required by a	
All participants:	
(1) Nathan J. Newhouse.	(3) <u>Sameh H. Tawfik</u> .
(2) Pinaldi Pada	(4)